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Abstract

When different map projection systems are applied simultaneously over a given area the need of conversion permanently arises in the overlapping areas of these systems. Conversions, however, can not always be made by closed mathematical formulas and in these cases it frequently raises serious problems to apply a correct transformation method. Hence such algorithm and program package was developed for all combination conversion between Hungarian map projection systems and their reference surfaces which should not cause difficulties even for users having no deeper knowledge in map projections.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A multitude of map projection systems was resulted in Hungary because there were many subsequent (and mostly justified) changes in geodetic reference systems. Three different stereographic map projection systems were used for geodetic purposes and three conformal tangent cylindrical systems were required as well. Two 6° zones of Gauss-Krüger and UTM projection cover over the area of the country thus more than one system is used even within a single kind of projection. Besides, the Unified National Projection system (EOV) was introduced in the whole area of Hungary as well. The former Hungarian Gaussian sphere, tangent to the Bessel ellipsoid is the common reference surface of Hungarian stereographic and conformal tangent cylindrical systems, the new Hungarian Gaussian sphere, tangent to the IUGG-67 ellipsoid, is the reference for EOV system and the Krassovsky ellipsoid is the reference surface for Gauss-Krüger projections in our country. Furthermore, WGS-84 ellipsoidal or geocentric Cartesian co-ordinates result from GPS measurements more recently and even it is required in international relations to use the UTM system in more recent times.
This picture is complicated further on by the fact that besides the above mentioned systems also military stereographic, in the area of Budapest city stereographic and in some villages of the country even system without projection are used.

When different map projection systems are used simultaneously over the same area the need of conversion frequently arises inside overlapping areas. Circumstances are the same when there are different zones within a single projection (e.g. Gauss-Krüger or UTM projections), then inside the periphery of neighbouring zones co-ordinates are to be converted usually. More generally speaking: when the projection system of our maps differ from that of our control points available, our measurement results have to be transformed into the projection system of our map that they could be represented on it.

Conversions may take place either by the so called co-ordinate method (with closed mathematical expressions) or through transformation equations (polynomials), which were provided by using so called common points that have known co-ordinates in both systems.

It is possible to make exact conversions with closed mathematical expressions in cases only when both projection systems has the same reference surface and points of the same triangulation network coming from the same adjustment are represented in both projection systems. It is since if a point belonging to a different triangulation network is converted from one system into the other then transformed co-ordinates will not fit suitably into points of the triangulation network presented on the projection plane in question. It is true because one should consider differences that may arise from the different position and orientation of the two triangulation networks and also base extension networks and angle observations are quite different. A refinement of any triangulation network with recent measurements or with a readjustment alters co-ordinates of horizontal control points with respect to the reference surface and hence co-ordinate on the projection plane as well. The effects are the same when some parameters of the reference surface are modified even in that case when otherwise our triangulation network remains the same. Any re-orientation of the network (a rotation of the reference surface) does not hinder exact conversion. When the co-ordinate method is applied, conversion may be made by rigorous mathematical expressions found in some reference works enlisted.

In each such case when any of the above mentioned requirements has not been met the conversion is not possible by closed mathematical formulas. The conversion therefore can be performed only by transformation equations, which were deduced as polynomials from so called common points that have co-ordinates in both projection systems. In this case maximum five-order conformal polynomials can be applied depending on the number of common points. For example, the connection between \( x, y \) co-ordinates of the projection system III. and \( x', y' \) co-ordinates of the projection system II. is established by the
\[ x' = A_0 + A_1 x + A_2 y + A_3 x^2 + A_4 xy + A_5 y^2 + A_6 x^2 y + A_7 xy^2 + A_8 y^3 + A_{10} x^3 + A_{11} x^2 y^2 + A_{12} xy^3 + A_{13} y^4 + A_{15} x^4 + A_{16} x^3 y + A_{17} x^2 y^2 + A_{18} xy^3 + A_{19} y^4 + A_{20} y^5 \]

\[ y' = B_0 + B_1 x + B_2 y + B_3 x^2 + B_4 xy + B_5 y^2 + B_6 x^3 + B_7 x^2 y + B_8 xy^2 + B_9 y^3 + B_{10} x^4 + B_{11} x^3 y^2 + B_{12} xy^3 + B_{13} y^4 + B_{15} x^5 + B_{16} x^4 y + B_{17} x^3 y^2 + B_{18} xy^3 + B_{19} y^4 + B_{20} y^5 \]

Polynomials. Coefficients \( A_0 - A_{20} \) and \( B_0 - B_{20} \) (altogether 42 coefficients) can be determined by using common points suitably through an adjustment process. In such a case slightly different co-ordinates will be resulted after the conversion process depending on the position and number of selected common points and the applied method.

2. COMPUTER SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT

Since it may cause problems even for experts to apply correct methods of conversion between a multitude of map projection systems so we worked out such a program package by which conversions can be made between Hungarian map projection systems and their reference co-ordinates in all combination, the usage of which can cause no problem even for users having no deeper knowledge in map projections.

Conversion between co-ordinates

\begin{align*}
V T N & = \text{System without projection} \\
B E S & = \text{Bessel's Ellipsoidal} \\
S Z T & = \text{Budapest Stereographic Projection} \\
K S T & = \text{Military Stereographic Projection} \\
H E R & = \text{North Cylindrical System} \\
H K R & = \text{Middle Cylindrical System} \\
H D R & = \text{South Cylindrical System} \\
V S T & = \text{Stereographic System of the City Budapest} \\
I U G & = \text{IUGG-67 Ellipsoidal} \\
E O V & = \text{Unified National Projection} \\
K R A & = \text{Krassovsky's Ellipsoidal} \\
G A K & = \text{Gauss-Krüger Projection} \\
W G S & = \text{WGS-84 Ellipsoidal} \\
X Y Z & = \text{Spatial Cartesian Geocentric /GPS/} \\
U T M & = \text{Universal Transverse Mercator}
\end{align*}
are performed by the conversion program in the area of Hungary in 212 combinations as it is enlisted in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VTN</th>
<th>BES</th>
<th>SZT</th>
<th>KST</th>
<th>HER</th>
<th>HKR</th>
<th>HDR</th>
<th>VST</th>
<th>IUG</th>
<th>EOV</th>
<th>KRA</th>
<th>GAK</th>
<th>WGS</th>
<th>XYZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VTN</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BES</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KST</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VST</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUG</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAK</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table conveys us information on the possibility and accuracy of conversions very simply.

Double lines in this table separate map projection systems belonging to different reference surfaces. (By reference surface the ellipsoid is meant, though the fact should be acknowledged that the approximating Gaussian sphere serves also as a reference surface for those map projection systems where a double projection is applied and an intermediate sphere is the reference surface at the second step of the projection to get co-ordinates on a plane or on a plane developable surface. Co-ordinates on this approximating sphere have no practical role for users.)

Plus "+" signs at the intersection fields of rows and columns indicate that an exact conversion between the two map projection system is possible using closed mathematical formulas found in reference works of (HAZAY, 1964), (VARGA, 1981, 1986) for transformation. In this case the accuracy of transformed co-ordinates is the same as the accuracy of co-ordinates to be transformed.

Cross "×" signs of this table indicate the impossibility of transformation between the two map projection systems with closed mathematical formulas and the conversion - according to rules found in (RULES FOR MAP PROJECTION'S USE, 1975) is performed using e.g. polynomials as in Eq. (1) of a finite (maximum five) degree. In these cases theoretically there is only a possibility of conversion with limited accuracy (e.g. the accuracy of converted plane co-ordinates is generally about only $\pm 10\text{ cm}$ or $\pm 20\text{ cm}$).
Parenthetic plus " (+) " and cross " (×) " signs remind us of the fact that a conversion is possible and it can be done by our program but there is no practical need - except of scientifical reasons - to make it. (E.g. between map projection systems with no overlapping areas or if they are not very close to each other there can be no practical need to make conversion).

Minus " – " signs in the table are reminders of the fact that an identical (transformation into itself) conversion has no meaning except of the Gauss-Krüger and UTM projection systems where the need of conversion between different zones frequently arises. Hence a " !+! " sign indicates that it is possible to make exact conversions between different zones of the Gauss-Krüger and UTM map projection systems.

It has to be noted that only an approximate conversion using common points is possible from the Stereographic projection system of the city Budapest into some other (e.g. into Budapest Stereographic) projection systems that have even the same reference surface (Bessel ellipsoid) because the triangulation networks are different.

Since our recent information shows that there are some villages not only in the southern part of Transdanubia but also in the country Szabolcs-Szatmár-Bereg that have maps without projection, hence conversion between North Cylindrical System (HER) and System Without Projection (VTN) is allowed and the sign " × " appears instead of "(×)" sign in the corresponding field of the table.

The logical frame of our map projection conversion software can be grasped in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.
Our software has two main parts: a module which yields coefficients of transformation polynomials and another module which performs actual conversions. Broken lines surround these two modules in Fig. 1.

Module 1 computes coefficients of transformation polynomials in equation (1) in those cases it is impossible to convert between the two systems through the co-ordinate method, that is through closed mathematical expressions. Program Vetpol.Exe makes it possible to calculate the coefficients of polynomials when some common points are adequately given. Program Vetpol creates binary files eov_szt.pol, eov_vst.pol, eov_wgs.pol, szt_vtn.pol, gak_eov.pol, gak_szt.pol, and gak_wgs.pol containing coefficients of transformation polynomials, which are required for conversions between EOV-Budapest Stereographic, EOV – City Stereographic, EOV – WGS-84, Budapest Stereographic – Without Projection, Gauss-Krüger – EOV, a Gauss-Krüger – Budapest Stereographic and Gauss-Krüger – WGS-84. Program Vetpol determines the degree of transformation polynomials automatically as a function of the number of common points. If there are 21 or more common points then all the (namely 42) coefficients of a five-degree polynomial in the expression (1) can be determined. When the number of common points lies between 15 and 20 then the degree of polynomials is 4, if the number of common points is between 10 and 14 then the degree is 3, and then the number of common points is between 6 and 9 the degree of polynomials required for transformation is 2. At least 6 common points are necessary to compute coefficients of the polynomials, however an effort should be made to use as many
common points as possible to determine these polynomial coefficients. If the number \( n \) of common points is such as \( 7 \leq n \leq 9 \), \( 11 \leq n \leq 14 \), \( 16 \leq n \leq 20 \) or \( n \geq 21 \), then the number of equations is greater than it is necessary (the problem is over determined), hence the most reliable values of unknown polynomial coefficients are determined through adjustment by program \textit{Vetpol}.

Program \textit{Vetrajz.Exe} is also a member of Module 1 by which the geometrical arrangement of common points can be displayed on screen to check the evenness of our point distribution.

Actual conversions can be made by Module 2 (Fig. 1). Three important programs can be found in this module: input-output organizer program of the conversion software, namely \textit{Vetulet.Exe}, main conversion program \textit{Vet.Exe} and \textit{Olvas.Exe} is an utility program to read and print output files.

Co-ordinates of points to be converted can be inputted from both keyboard and disk files by the program \textit{Vetulet.Exe}. A built-in special editor helps to handle co-ordinates from the keyboard or to transfer them into a work file \texttt{work.dat} in the required format. This special editor also serves to check inputted co-ordinates on a high level and therefore it is practically impossible to read erroneous co-ordinates. Co-ordinates from disk files will also pass through the above strict trouble shooting process and they will be transferred into a work file \texttt{work.dat} as well.

Co-ordinates in the work file \texttt{work.dat} are transformed into the required system by the main conversion program \textit{Vet.Exe}. The operation of this main program and the conversion logic between the 15 different map projection systems can be overviewed on Fig. 2. Transformation paths – and their directions – between different systems are pictured by arrows. It can be seen that in most cases it is possible to convert between two arbitrary systems only through other intermediate systems (e.g. if a conversion between UTM and EOV systems is needed then UTM co-ordinates first have to be converted into WGS-84 ellipsoid, then into the new Gaussian sphere and then into a so-called auxiliary system and finally they should be converted from this SVR system into EOV). If any two systems are connected in Fig. 2 by a continuous line then an exact conversion by the co-ordinate method, i.e. through closed mathematical expressions can be made; when the path, however, passes through an hexagonal block then the two systems, pointed by arrows, only a approximately accurate conversion could be made by transformation polynomials. Two-letter abbreviations in hexagonal blocks show which binary data file, containing transformation polynomials, have to be used to convert between the two neighbouring systems (their meaning in accord with Fig. 1 is: \( \text{ES} = \text{eov_szt.pol} \), \( \text{EV} = \text{eov_vst.pol} \), \( \text{EW} = \text{eov_wgs.pol} \), \( \text{SV} = \text{szt_vtn.pol} \), \( \text{GE} = \text{gak_eov.pol} \), \( \text{GS} = \text{gak_szt.pol} \), \( \text{GW} = \text{gak_wgs.pol} \)). When there is more than one path possible between any two systems, the path is chosen along which conversion is more accurate. Transformed co-ordinates in different formats are passed into \texttt{out.dat} and \texttt{trf.dat} files by program \textit{Vet.Exe}. 
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Olvas.Exe is a utility program that serves to display (read) and print output files. The content of the output file out.dat can be examined by this program on the screen and it can also be printed optionally.

3. SOFTWARE TESTING AND TESTS OF ACCURACY

It was mentioned previously that it is possible to convert through closed mathematical expressions between certain map projection systems. A conclusion could have been drawn as a result of our test computations that in these cases the accuracy of computed plane coordinates is 1 mm and of geodetic co-ordinates is 0.0001". These conversions are referred to in Table 1 with " + " , " (+) " , and " +! " signs or these systems are connected by continuous lines (arrows) in Fig. 2.

In all other cases when the transformation path between any two systems passes through an hexagonal block (or blocks), the accuracy of transformed co-ordinates depends, on one side, how accurately the control networks of these systems fit into each other; and on the other side, how successful was the determination of transformation polynomial coefficients. It follows also from these facts that no matter how accurately these transformation polynomial coefficients was determined, if the triangulation networks of these two systems do not fit into each other accurately – since there were measurement, adjustment and other errors during their establishment – then certainly no conversion of unlimited accuracy can be performed (in other terms, only such an accurate conversion between two map projection systems is possible that the accuracy allowed by the determination errors or discrepancies of these control networks). This fact, of course does not mean that ones should not be very careful when the method of transformation is selected or – when the polynomial method is applied – the coefficients in Equation (1) are determined.

Our first tests aimed at the question to decide which one of the two methods: Helmert transformation or polynomial method is more advantageous to be used. We arrived at the result that although the Helmert transformation is computationally more simple its accuracy in the majority of cases does not even approximate the accuracy provided by the polynomial method. Since a simple programming can be a motive for only software "beginners" therefore we took our stand firmly on the side of the use of polynomial method.

When the polynomial method is chosen the next important question is to determine the optimal degree of the polynomial. By considering a simple way of reasoning one could arrive at the conclusion that the higher the degree of the polynomial the higher the accuracy of map projection conversions will be. On the contrary, it could be proved by our tests that the maximum accuracy was resulted by applying five degree polynomials. No matter whether the
degree was decreased or increased, the accuracy of transformed co-ordinates was lessened alike (more considerably by decreasing, less considerably by increasing).

It is true, really, that minimum 21 common points are required to determine coefficients of a five degree polynomial, but our experiences revealed the fact that the accuracy of conversions can be increased further on by using a considerably greater amount of common points and the most probable values of these unknown polynomial coefficients are determined through an adjustment.

A documentation file, provided by the program Vetpol, conveys some information characteristic to the accuracy of conversions by the polynomial method. Coefficients of transformation polynomials are first provided by the program Vetpol based on co-ordinates of common points $y_i, x_i$ and $y_i', x_i'$ in systems $I$ and $II$, respectively. Then $y_i, x_i$ co-ordinates in system $I$ are transformed into co-ordinates $ty_i', tx_i'$ in system $II$ by using these coefficients and finally the standard error characteristic to conversion,

$$m = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (ty_i' - y_i')^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (tx_i' - x_i')^2}{n}}$$

(2)

will be determined.

For your guidance it could be mentioned that for example, between the Budapest Stereographic and the EOV systems the standard error is $\pm 0.252$ m from the expression (2) for the complete area of Hungary when 134 common points are used and the same figures are $\pm 0.004$ m, $\pm 0.037$ m and $\pm 0.217$ m between Budapest City Stereographic an EOV, EOV and WGS-84, and EOV and Gauss-Krüger systems by using 43, 34 and 50 common points respectively.

Our experiences showed the fact that although the accuracy can somewhat be increased by increasing the number of common points within the polynomial method but the accuracy of conversion can not be increased beyond a certain limit even with this method since there is a difference between the two triangulation networks. In certain cases, however, an improvement could be gained when transformation polynomial coefficients are not determined for the complete area of the country but for only smaller sub-areas common points are given and transformation polynomial coefficient are determined by program Vetpol. In such cases conversions, of course, must not be made outside the sub-area where the coefficients of transformation polynomials were determined by program Vetpol.

It is worthy of note that also heights of points can be handled, when necessary, by the software. For example when XYZ geocentric co-ordinates, determined from GPS, are to be transformed into any other system then besides the transformed $y, x$ projection co-ordinates or $j, I$ ellipsoidal (geodetic) co-ordinates, also the
\[ h = H + N \]

Heights above the WGS-84 ellipsoid will be resulted, - where \( N \) denotes geoid-ellipsoid distance i.e. geoid undulation above WGS-84 ellipsoid and \( H \) is the height above geoid (height above sea level). So if the geoid-ellipsoid distance in a certain point is known there is also a possibility to determine heights of practical value by the GPS technique.

Finally we would like to mention that by our software with certain modifications one is able to convert between other map projection systems as well that are used in other countries.
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